

ECA programme

Day 1 - Tuesday 23.09.2025

15.30-17.00 registration (location: In front of Small Auditorium (Mała Aula), room 237)

17.00-17.15 opening (location: Small Auditorium)
Professor Renata Walczak, Vice-Rector, Warsaw University of Technology;
Professor Justyna Łacny, Dean of the Faculty of Administration and Social Sciences;
Professor Steve Oswald, Vice-Chair, ECA Steering Committee, University of Fribourg

17.15-18.30 Keynote 1: **Miriam Metzger**. *Credibility at a Crossroads: Lessons from the Past and a Look to the Future*
(location: Small Auditorium) chair: Fabrizio Macagno

18.30-20.00 welcome reception (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)

Day 2 - Wednesday 24.09.2025

9 00-10 15

10.15-11.00	coffee break (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)
-------------	--

parallel sessions (location: Rektorska 4)

Wed, 24/09	Room 4.01 chair: Paglieri	Room 4.02 chair: Konat	Room 4.04 chair: Van Poppel	Room 4.05 chair: Scott	Room 3.12 chair: Raab	Room 3.01 chair: Andone	Room 3.07 chair: Lucchini	Room 4.07 chair: Zafęska
11.00-11.30	Aikin, Casey. <i>Meta-arguments for argumentative escalation and de-escalation.</i>	Reuneker. <i>From clue to culprit: epistemic conditionals in detective fiction</i>	Pilgram. <i>"My colleagues thought I might need a tetanus shot": Patients' strategic references to third parties in medical consultation</i>	Gabrielsen and Mouton. <i>Ars Inveniendi 2.0: Can AI Revolutionize the Topics?</i>	Jasper. <i>Why and how do we need a concept of truth in argumentation?</i>	Prus. <i>A Cracovian Debate: Cooperative Debate Format Based on the Dialectical Principle of Charity</i>	Mijangos-Martinez. <i>An Approach to Dascal's Controversy from the Perspective of Argument Dialectics</i>	Egres. <i>Social Media Argumentation: A (not-so) New Macro-Context and its Argumentative Affordances</i>
11.30-12.00	Goffredo, Dore, Cabrio and Villata. <i>Repairing Fallacious Argumentation in Political Debates</i>	Siskou, Reinhardt and Hautli-Janisz. <i>AI vs. Human Reasoning in Parole Decision-Making</i>	Schwartz and Hoppmann. <i>Polarized arguments about vaccines: tracking modes of reasoning in response to digital influences</i>	Tajuddin. <i>The Walton Classifier: Automated detection of argument schemes in natural language and dialectical exchange</i>	Wen and Liu. <i>A Pluralistic View of Validity</i>	Armas. <i>Arguing on behalf of others in public deliberation</i>	Palmieri and Musi. <i>The influencers' appeal to authority: ethos-building strategies by news and financial youtubers</i>	Lan. <i>Multimodal Argumentation in Advertising: Analyzing Argumentation Schemes in Commercial and Public Service Advertisements</i>
12.00-12.30	Stelmach and Kulik. <i>Critical questions and hateful speech: Operationalising a new definition to detect harmful distortions of arguments</i>	Skowron, Sawicki and Chudziak. <i>AI as a Moral Agent? The Limits of ChatGPT's Argumentation</i>	Dębowska- Kozłowska and Hample. <i>"Blood like wine: it rages in youth, it ripens with age" - an empirical study of the age effect on interpersonal arguing in Poland</i>	Zenker. <i>Pivotal Problems for a Theory of Argument Schemes</i>	Bodlović. <i>The Path of a Charitable Opponent: The Dilemmas of Intellectual Tolerance</i>		Hinton and Olkowska. <i>I am whom I trust: Arguments from Authority as Expressions of Identity</i>	Grzenkowicz. <i>And that's why it's true: multimodal proof in fact-checking on TikTok</i>
12.30-13.00	Völker. <i>Do You Need Reason to Commit a Fallacy? On Fallacies in Human–AI Interaction</i>	Haidar. <i>Unpacking the Complexity of International Human Rights Law: A New Rhetoric Perspective</i>	Carr. <i>Discursive Mirages: Mimicking Public Arguments Across Discursive Spaces</i>	Hansen. <i>Schemes and Warrants</i>	Kollarik. <i>Groarke's Deductivism and the Pitfall of Circular Reasoning</i>	Fiore, Zikovitz and Montresor. <i>Constructionism and Argumentation in the Digital Age: Enhancing Discourse Through Artifact-Driven Learning</i>	Budzynska and Koszowy. <i>Scalability of Ethotic Arguments in the Digital Rhetoric</i>	Van Laar. <i>Clarification in multimodal argumentation</i>

13.00-14.30 lunch (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)

parallel sessions (location: Rektorska 4)

Wed, 24/09	Room 4.01 chair: Pruś	Room 4.02 chair: Casey	Room 4.04 chair: Hoppmann	Room 4.05 chair: Musi	Room 3.12 chair: Hinton	Room 3.01 chair: Mijangos	Room 3.07 chair: Domínguez-Armas	Room 4.07 chair: Aikin
14.30-15.00	Kjeldsen. <i>A good bad argument for giving your money away. Argumentation and reasoning in online fraud and scams</i>	Shecaira and D'Almeida. <i>When are arguments from scholarly legal authority legitimate?</i>	Aakhus. <i>Digitalized uses of argumentation: An early case of arguing with AI-infused systems</i>	Lawrence, Kikteva and Ruiz-Dolz. <i>Can LLMs Understand Natural Language Argumentation? A Study in Identifying and Classifying Argumentation Scheme Instances</i>	Dalla Rosa and Mancini. <i>Degrees of Rational Persuasion</i>	Hietanen. <i>Assessing and Enhancing Argumentation Literacy: Developing an Argument Literacy in Context Test and its Pedagogical Applications</i>	Pagliari. <i>Argumentation, deliberation quality and political participation: some musings on a complex relationship</i>	Kisicek. <i>Auditory Arguments and the Potential for Refutation in Multimodal Argumentation</i>
15.00-15.30	Comment: Schiappa	Comment: Yanlin Liao	Comment: Beth Innocenti	Marraud. <i>Te Limits of Argument by Analogy</i>	Urbanski and Barszcz. <i>Argument Evaluation Profiles: A Multicriteria Approach</i>	Modrzejewska. <i>Quantitative Literacy and Argumentation: Insights from Data Interpretation Tasks in the Polish Matriculation Exam</i>	Mamberti, Salazar-Escalante, Hample and Hample. <i>Arguing in Colombia: Dialogue in a Society Impacted by Conflict and Violence</i>	Candiotto and Kiš. <i>Participatory Sense-Making in Disagreements: Enriching Multi-Modal Theory of Argumentation with the Enactive Approach to Social Understanding</i>
15.30-16.00	Mütschele. <i>On the Interconnectedness of Framing and Argumentation: An Empirical Analysis of Persuasive Functions in Climate Movements' Public-Strategic Communication</i>	Goossens. <i>A Pragma-dialectical Analysis of the Rule of Law Debate in the European Union</i>	Wells. <i>Dialogue Cartography</i>	Wang. <i>Analogical Adjudication in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: An Argument Scheme-Driven Framework</i>	Kobierski. <i>Persuading is just the beginning: an exploration of argument functions</i>	Völker, Hannken- Illjes and Schwarze. <i>Argument Models in Education: A Design-Based Research Approach to Enhancing Students' Argumentation Skills in German Lessons</i>	Jodoi. <i>Debate in Japan: Present Circumstances and Future Directions for a Sustainable Community</i>	Barrientos Galindo. <i>Art as Argument</i>
16.00-16.30	Comment: Evangelista	Comment: Andone	Comment: Lawrence	Liao. <i>A Critical Examination of the Meta-Argument Approach to Analogical Arguments</i>	Arce Rodriguez. <i>Argumentative Attitude: A Psychological Perspective in Dialogue with Philosophy</i>	Rogowska and Będkowski. <i>Navigating Rhetoric Under Pressure: Argumentative Strategies in Competitive Debating</i>	Horvath. <i>Strong Contextualism About the Aim of Argumentation</i>	Wohlrapp. <i>The Reflexivity of Argument and the Ambitions of Multimodality</i>

16.30-17.15	coffee break (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)
-------------	--

parallel sessions (location: Rektorska 4)								
Wed, 24/09	Room 4.01 chair: Pruś	Room 4.02 chair: Marraud	Room 4.04 chair: Konat	Room 4.05 chair: Zenker	Room 3.12 chair: Van Laar	Room 3.01	Room 3.07	Room 4.07 chair: Lewiński
17.15-17.45	Bailin and Battersby. <i>Relegating our Thinking to Chatbots? Challenges to Critical Thinking Education from Generative AI</i>	Hssaine. <i>The phenomenon of online radicalization and recruitment: an empirical analysis from argument dialectics</i>	Paulsen. <i>Rhetorical Constructions of the normal, The 'Normalisation' of mental health issues in Norwegian news media</i>	Petk. <i>Understanding Virtue Through Vice: A Proposed Change to Virtue Argumentation Theory</i>	Campell and Mueller. <i>Enabling and Disabling Conditions as Higher-Order Defeat: A Holistic Evaluation of Arguments</i>			Jackson. <i>The Volatility of Correctness Norms: An Observational Study</i>
17.45-18.15	Liang. <i>What Are Generalizable Skills of Critical Thinking?—Back to John Dewey</i>	Chudziak and Harbar. <i>Debating Minds: Can LLM-based Multi-Agent Debates Teach Us to Argue?</i>	Kolcunova, Flecken, Wagemans and Korenar. <i>Persuasion, language, and emotional valence: A study on Czech–Slovak receptive bilinguals’ perception of argumentative health messages</i>	Avitabile. <i>In Dialogue with Expertise: Epistemic Asymmetry and the Appeal to Expert Opinion</i>				Garssen and Reijven. <i>Argumentative Interruptions in Dutch Parliamentary General Debates</i>
18.30-20.15	Sightseeing (location: in front of Main Building, Plac Politechniki 1)							

Day 3 - Thursday 25.09.2025								
parallel sessions (location: Rektorska 4)								
Thu, 25/09	Room 4.01 chair: Musi	Room 4.02 chair: Tseronis	Room 4.04 chair: Mijangos	Room 4.05 chair: Innocenti	Room 3.12 chair: Będkowski	Room 3.01 chair: Gascón	Room 3.07 chair: Bodlović	Room 4.07 chair: Coppola
9.00-9.30	Littek and Lawrence. <i>Argumentation in Trusted Research Environments: A Framework for Secure Cross-Document Analysis</i>	Ziobrowski. <i>Diagrams of argumentation in moral issues</i>	D'Agostino. <i>Some would argue that prefaces are pivotal for robust questioning, but LLMs don't understand their role: do you agree? The role of prefaces in strategic Q&A and some insights on their automatic generation</i>	Svačinová. <i>Petitionary prayer as a speech act and an argumentative discourse</i>	Makuła and Pruś. <i>How Argumentation Theorists Evaluate Arguments?</i>	Csordás and Karakas. <i>Material arguments: how can objects convey?</i>	van der Geest and Goossens. <i>Aligning the extended theory of pragma-dialectics with political theory</i>	Wapniarski, Lupkowski and Ondráček. <i>Too Dreadful to be Possible: Alan Turing's "Heads in the Sand" Objection as a Strategic Move</i>
9.30-10.00	Von Burg and Paroske. <i>Deepfakes Algorithms, Humor, and the Challenges of Argumentation in the Digital Age</i>	Załęska. <i>In freedom of expression we trust (?). Meta platform and argumentative twists</i>	Ouyang and Xie. <i>Arguing virtuously by questioning (or not questioning)</i>	Kleschatzky and Hannken-Illjes. <i>Emergent common ground and argumentation in antenatal care conversations</i>	Kulik and Hinton. <i>Justice in argumentation. A standard for hateful speech moderation and charitable discussion</i>	Wang and Niu. <i>MacIntyre's Virtues of Dependence and Independence: An Application to Argumentation</i>	Zarębski. <i>On the Status of Knowledge in Pragmadiialectics</i>	Pierre. <i>Agent-defocussing as an argumentative strategy in public controversies on sustainable fashion</i>
10.00-11.00 coffee break (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)								
parallel sessions (location: Rektorska 4)								
Thu, 25/09	Room 4.01 chair: Oswald	Room 4.02 chair: Kisicek	Room 4.04 chair: Scott	Room 4.05 chair: Pruś	Room 3.12 chair: Aakhus	Room 3.01 chair: Wells	Room 3.07 chair: Skowron	Room 4.07 chair: Kulik
11.00-11.30	Lewinski. <i>Dilemmas of charity in argumentation</i>	Andrade. <i>Precedent and Legal Change</i>	Bhargava, Tonelli and Martín-Rodilla. <i>Designing and Analysing Argument Mining Pipelines: Towards a comprehensive assessment</i>	Jacobs. <i>Ethos in Richard Nixon's "Checkers" Speech: Appealing to Commonsense Reasoning About How an Innocent Man Would Act in the Face of a Smear</i>	Romazanov and Hautli-Janisz. <i>Properties of multi-LLM persuasive dialogue</i>	Yu and Zenker. <i>Argument schemes, types, and implicit information</i>	Giacchetta, Bernardi, Staiano, Tomasi. <i>AI in Law: A Structured Approach to Enhancing Legal Reasoning through Argument Mining</i>	Tseronis. <i>Exploiting the topical potential of meme templates: advocates and skeptics arguing about the environment through image macros</i>
11.30-12.00	Comment: Stevens	Comment: Duarte D'Almeida	Comment: Shiyang Yu	Ziembicki. <i>Implicatures of Questions in Political Debates: Structures and Functions</i>	Ondráček, Urbanski and Lupkowski. <i>LLM's-side Bias</i>	Khomenko and Hample. <i>Orientations toward Interpersonal Arguing in Ukraine, Across Three War Conditions</i>	Manzin. <i>The "Argumentum ad Derby"</i>	Andone. <i>Arguments for (un)informed consent in cookie banners</i>
12.00-12.30	Gascon. <i>On the distinction between arguments and explanations</i>	Hoppmann and Hubsch. <i>Pragma-Dialectical Monologue</i>	Dufour. <i>The arguer and the argument</i>	Macagno. <i>The argumentative functions of strategic questions</i>	Pereira-Fariña, Gajewska and Budzyska. <i>A proposal for an entity annotation framework for the development of Ethos Analytics</i>	Roe. <i>Self-Diagnosing on TikTok and the reinvention of expertise: Are ADHD-influencers raising awareness or pathologizing an entire generation?</i>	Greco, Coppola and Jermini-Martinez Soria. <i>How dispute mediators respond to parties' accusations: semantic-pragmatic analysis and argumentative implications</i>	Raj and Sinha. <i>Dialectical Engagement or Ideological Reinforcement? Social Media Argumentation on Same-Sex Marriage Legalization in India</i>

Day 4 - Friday 26.09.2025

Keynote 3: **Jean Goodwin**. *Constructing the contemporary audience for argument*
(Small Auditorium (Mała Aula), room 237) chair: Martin Hinton

9.00-10.15 (Small Auditorium (Maia Aula), Room 257) Chair: Martin Hinton

10.15-11.00	coffee break (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)
-------------	--

parallel sessions (location: Rektorska 4)

Fri, 26/09	Room 4.01 chair: Modrzejewska	Room 4.02 chair: Kulik	Room 4.04 chair: Kisicek	Room 4.05 chair: Greco	Room 3.12 chair: Będkowski	Room 3.01 chair: Aakhus	Room 3.07 chair: Grzenkowicz	Room 4.07 chair: Dębowska-Kozłowska
11.00-11.30	Duarte D'Almeida. <i>Inference to the Best Legal Explanation?</i>	Snaith and Wells. <i>Retrieval-augmented Generation for Immersive Formal Dialogue</i>	Raut. <i>Inhospitable environments and the call of virtue</i>	Evangelista. <i>The effective interplay of rhetorical argumentation and textuality in the climate crisis discourse: an analysis of opinion articles in Italian</i>	Trepczyński. <i>LLM-Powered Chatbots' Deductive Reasoning and Argumentation Evaluation</i>	Hautli-Janisz, Lewinski, Armas, and Bodlović. <i>Arguing with ChatGPT: Large Language Models and the Inference to the Best Explanation</i>	Scott. <i>Argument Pessimism in the Age of AI</i>	Casey and Aikin. <i>Fallacies without Deceit</i>
11.30-12.00	Puppo, Tomasi and Wagemans. <i>The right to truth in the digital age: Argument-Checking as a means to preserve epistemic integrity</i>	Gorska, Lawrence and Reed. <i>Arguments in Geopolitical Forecasts</i>	Younis. <i>Straw man, steel man, and accurate representation: experimental evidence on the persuasive effects of faithful reformulation</i>	Asadi. <i>Revealing Argumentative Patterns: Underlying the Genre-Specific Moves in Research Articles</i>	Maguire, Visser, Lawrence and Reed. <i>Quantifying Argumentative Style</i>	Innocenti. <i>Exhorting Across Political Divides in a Digital Society</i>	Sanchis Ferrer. <i>The Spade is fine; let's keep digging</i>	
12.00-12.30	Raab. <i>Troubles with Bayesian Argumentation</i>	Wagemans. <i>Beyond argument schemes: Rethinking analysis and reconstruction through the notion of 'argument type'</i>	Heshmati. <i>Evaluating Visual Arguments through Critical Questions: How Plausible is Normative Non-revisionism?</i>	Kikteva and Hautli-Janisz. <i>Deconstructing the Argumentative Dynamics in Concessive Constructions in Dialogue</i>	Gajewska. <i>Countering Fallacies with LLMs: A System for Identifying and Rebutting Ad Hominem Arguments</i>	Doury and Hernandez. <i>Two wrongs don't make a right: The argumentative function of fixed expressions in English, French, Italian, and Spanish</i>	Musi, Rocci and Pineda. <i>Is climate change black and white? Exploring dichotomic framings of climate causality in Reddit</i>	Williams. <i>That Feels Convincing: A Survey of Pathotic Argumentation Quality</i>
12.30-13.00	Comment: Zenker	Comment: Hansen	Comment: Godden	Shtok and Welle. <i>From argument to paragraph: Approaching academic writing through informal logic</i>	Schad and Reed. <i>Comparing 'whodunnit' hypotheses by human readers and Large Language Models</i>	Coppola and Rocci. <i>A polyphonic framework for capturing counterargument and concession patterns in large online polylogues</i>	Růžička. <i>Pragma-Dialectical Analysis of Police Communication on Social Media</i>	Žagar. <i>Neuro-argumentation: The Role of Neuroimaging Techniques in Studying Argumentation</i>

13.00-14.30	lunch (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)
-------------	---

parallel sessions (location: Rektorska 4)				
Fri, 26/09	Room 4.01 chair: Hinton	Room 4.02 chair: Gascón	Room 4.04 chair: Zenker	Room 4.05 chair: Tseronis
14.30-15.00	Todorovic. <i>Perspectives on Argumentation: A Topic Modelling Approach</i>	Lucchini. <i>Extending the notion of argumentative patterns</i>	Haro Marchal. <i>Argumentative Resistance and Non-Ideal Argumentation</i>	Jopek-Bosiacka. <i>President Donald Trump’s executive orders - normative argumentation and its presentation in the digital world</i>
15.00-15.30	Comment: Shtok	Comment: Aakhus	Comment: Mohammed	Afzali, Asadi and Farsani. <i>Integrating Modified Toulmin and Pragma-Dialectics Models in L2 Argumentative Writing: A Cross-Cultural Analysis of Persian and Norwegian Writers</i>
15.30-16.00	Labrie. <i>Teaching Reasonable Argumentation In Neonatology (TRAIN): Development and evaluation of a digital tool to teach clinical argumentation skills</i>	Niu and Wang. <i>The Role of Argumentative Virtues in Judicial Decision-Making and Public Opinion</i>	Koszowy and Welle. <i>Reformulation and Fake News: Rephrase Studies in the Argument Assessment of Disinformation</i>	Franco-Ramírez. <i>INTERCULTURAL ARGUMENTATION: A multidimensional approach to enhance the criteria for argument analysis, in the context of the interaction of plural reasons</i>
16.00-16.30		Li and Yu. <i>How can virtue argumentation theory aid in evaluating individual arguments?</i>	Schumann, Akin and Casey. <i>How People React to Being Straw-maned. Empirical Evidence for the Non-persuasiveness of Straw Men on the Target</i>	Yaskorska-Shah. <i>International Debate on History: Strategies for Interpretative Argumentation of Historical Events</i>
16.30-17.15	Reception & conference closing (location: ITC hall, Nowowiejska 21/25)			
Please note that programme may change. You can always check the current programme by scanning the QR code				

