

Logic and Argumentation

Studies
in Logic

Argumentation and Reasoned Action

**Proceedings of the
1st European Conference
on Argumentation,
Lisbon 2015**

Volume I

Editors
Dima Mohammed
Marcin Lewiński

Studies in Logic

Volume 62

Argumentation and Reasoned Action

Proceedings of the 1st European
Conference on Argumentation,

Lisbon 2015

Volume I

- Volume 52
Inconsistency Robustness
Carl Hewitt and John Woods, eds.
- Volume 53
Aristotle's Earlier Logic
John Woods
- Volume 54
Proof Theory of N4-related Paraconsistent Logics
Norihiro Kamide and Heinrich Wansing
- Volume 55
All about Proofs, Proofs for All
Bruno Woltzenlogel Paleo and David Delahaye, eds
- Volume 56
Dualities for Structures of Applied Logics
Ewa Orłowska, Anna Maria Radzikowska and Ingrid Rewitzky
- Volume 57
Proof-theoretic Semantics
Nissim Francez
- Volume 58
Handbook of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic, Volume 3
Petr Cintula, Petr Hajek and Carles Noguera, eds.
- Volume 59
The Psychology of Argument. Cognitive Approaches to Argumentation and Persuasion
Fabio Paglieri, Laura Bonelli and Silvia Felletti, eds
- Volume 60
Abstract Algebraic Logic. An Introductory Textbook
Josep Maria Font
- Volume 61
Philosophical Applications of Modal Logic
Lloyd Humberstone
- Volume 62
Argumentation and Reasoned Action. Proceedings of the 1st European Conference on Argumentation, Lisbon 2015. Volume I
Dima Mohammed and Marcin Lewiński, eds
- Volume 63
Argumentation and Reasoned Action. Proceedings of the 1st European Conference on Argumentation, Lisbon 2015. Volume II
Dima Mohammed and Marcin Lewiński, eds

Argumentation and Reasoned Action

Proceedings of the 1st European
Conference on Argumentation,
Lisbon 2015

Volume I

Edited by

Dima Mohammed

and

Marcin Lewiński

© Individual author and College Publications 2016
All rights reserved.

ISBN 978-1-84890-211-4

College Publications
Scientific Director: Dov Gabbay
Managing Director: Jane Spurr

<http://www.collegepublications.co.uk>

Original cover design by Orchid Creative www.orchidcreative.co.uk
Printed by Lightning Source, Milton Keynes, UK

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior permission, in writing, from the publisher.

Table of contents

1. Deontic authority in legal argumentation: A case study	1
<i>By Michał Araszkiewicz and Marcin Koszowy</i>	
Commentary by Luís Duarte d'Almeida	21
2. Investigating the impact of moral relativism and objectivism on practical reasonableness	25
<i>By Michael D. Baumtrog</i>	
Commentary by David Hitchcock	47
3. Advocacy vs. inquiry in small-group deliberations	53
<i>By J. Anthony Blair</i>	
Commentary by Floriana Grasso	69
4. The bearable ambiguity of the constitutional text: Arguing, bargaining and persuading in the Italian Constituent Assembly	75
<i>By Giovanni Damele</i>	
5. Willingness to trust as a virtue in argumentative discussions	91
<i>By José Ángel Gascón</i>	
Commentary by G.C. Goddu	109
6. Cooperation in legal discourse	113
<i>By Stefan Goltzberg</i>	
Commentary by Maurizio Manzin	129
7. A Descriptive and comparative analysis of arguing in Portugal	135
<i>By Dale Hample, Marcin Lewiński, João Sàágua and Dima Mohammed</i>	
Commentary by Paula Castro	159
8. "All things considered"	165
<i>By David Hitchcock</i>	
Commentary by Erich Rast	181
9. Collaborative reframing: How to use argument mapping to cope with "wicked problems" and conflicts	187
<i>By Michael H. G. Hoffmann</i>	
Commentary by Sally Jackson	217

10. Canons of legal interpretation and the argument from authority <i>By Michael Hoppmann</i>	221
Commentary by Marcin Koszowy & Michał Araszkiewicz	239
11. Modeling argumentative activity in mediation with Inference Anchoring Theory: The case of impasse <i>By Mathilde Janier, Mark Aakhus, Katarzyna Budzyńska and Chris Reed</i>	245
Commentary by Sara Greco	265
12. A new approach to argumentation and reasoning based on mathematical practice <i>By Andrzej Kisielewicz</i>	269
Commentary by Andrew Aberdein	287
13. Symbolic condensation in visual and multimodal argumentation <i>By Jens Kjeldsen</i>	293
Commentary by Michael Gilbert	315
14. Fairness, definition and the legislator's intent: Arguments from <i>Epieikeia</i> in Aristotle's <i>Rhetoric</i> <i>By Miklós Könczöl</i>	319
Commentary by Serena Tomasi	337
15. Fair and unfair strategies in public controversies: The case of induced earthquakes <i>By Jan Albert Van Laar and Erik C. W. Krabbe</i>	343
Commentary by Chris Tindale	363
16. Working with Open Argument Corpora <i>By John Lawrence, Mathilde Janier and Chris Reed</i>	367
17. Towards an online social debating system <i>By João Leite, João G. Martins and Sinan Egilmez</i>	381
Commentary by Michael Hoffmann	399

18. How to conclude practical argument in a multi-party debate: A speech act analysis	403
<i>By Marcin Lewiński</i>	
Commentary by Steve Oswald	421
19. Journalists' emotionally colored standpoints: A path leading to foster existing stereotypes in the audience?	429
<i>By Margherita Luciani</i>	
Commentary by Henrike Jansen	453
20. Malleability and predictability of source credibility: American election candidates as a case study	457
<i>By Jens Koed Madsen</i>	
Commentary by Dale Hample	477
21. An epistemological theory of argumentation for adversarial legal proceedings	481
<i>By Danny Marrero</i>	
22. Not just rational, but also reasonable: Critical testing in the service of external uses of public political arguments	499
<i>By Dima Mohammed</i>	
Commentary by Jean Goodwin	515
23. Prosodic constraints on argumentation, from individual utterances to argumentative exchanges	521
<i>By François Nemo, Camille Létang and Mélanie Petit</i>	
Commentary by Andrea Rocci	541
24. Repetition as a context selection constraint: A study in the cognitive underpinnings of persuasion	547
<i>By Davis Ozols, Didier Maillat and Steve Oswald</i>	
25. Practical argumentation and multiple audience in policy proposals	567
<i>By Rudi Palmieri and Sabrina Mazzali-Lurati</i>	
Commentary by Jean Goodwin	589

26. Does public deliberation really need normative constraints? Recovering the Aristotelian rhetorical theory <i>By Salvatore Di Piazza, Francesca Piazza and Mauro Serra</i> Commentary by Amnon Knoll	593 609
27. Argumentatively evil storytelling <i>By Gilbert Plumer</i> Commentary by Paula Olmos	615 631
28. "Rationality as use": On the nature of rationality in argumentation <i>By Menashe Schwed</i> Commentary by Nuno Venturinha	635 659
29. Dialogue grammar induction <i>By Mark Snaith and Chris Reed</i> Commentary by João Leite	665 683
30. On cognitive environments <i>By Christopher W. Tindale</i> Commentary by Andrea Rocci	687 705
31. HLA Hart on logic and interpretation <i>By Cosmin-Marian Vaduva</i> Commentary by António Marques	711 727
32. Speech acts in a dialogue game for critical discussion <i>By Jacky Visser</i> Commentary by Alice Toniolo	731 751
33. Speech acts and burden of proof in computational models of deliberation dialogue <i>By Douglas Walton, Alice Toniolo and Timothy J. Norman</i> Commentary by Jan Albert van Laar	757 777
34. Using argumentation within sustainable transport communication <i>By Simon Wells and Kate Pangbourne</i> Commentary by Mark Aakhus	781 803

35. Giving reasons <i>pro et contra</i> as a debiasing technique in legal decision making	807
<i>By Frank Zenker, Christian Dahlma, Rasmus Bååth and Farhan Sarwar</i>	
Commentary by Fabrizio Macagno	821
36. Against visual argumentation: Multimodality as composite meaning and composite utterances	829
<i>By Igor Ž. Žagar</i>	

Preface

What we are offering you here are the proceedings of the 1st European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Reasoned Action, held at the ArgLab, Nova Institute of Philosophy, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 9-12 June 2015, Lisbon, Portugal. The European Conference on Argumentation (ECA) is a new pan-European initiative launched in 2013 aiming to consolidate and advance various streaks of research into argumentation and reasoning. ECA's chief goal is to organise, on a biannual basis, a major conference that provides an opportunity for exchanging research results and networking in all areas related to the study of argumentation: philosophy, communication, linguistics, discourse analysis, computer science, psychology, cognitive studies, legal theory, etc. While based in Europe, ECA involves and further encourages participation from argumentation scholars all over the world.

The proceedings comprise what we think is the most complete statement of the state of the art of argumentation studies today. From ancient rhetoric to Artificial Intelligence, and from analytic philosophy to detailed empirical research, the contributors have submitted argumentation theory and practice to a thorough examination. It's gratifying to have all this research collected in one source and realise the breadth and depth of the lively debates in argumentation studies.

The proceedings are divided into two printed volumes. *Volume I* includes 36 long papers along with the commentaries on them delivered during the conference. *Volume II* comprises 10 regular papers presented within three thematic panels, as well as 71 regular papers from the general conference programme. All these papers were subject to a double-blind peer review of the Scientific Panel of more than 50 experts in the field, who evaluated extended abstracts for long papers and short abstracts for regular papers before the conference. As a result, out of 286 submissions nearly 200 were accepted for presentation. Most of them are collected here. We have excluded poster presentations and invited key-note addresses by John Searle, Isabela and Norman Fairclough, and Simon Parsons.

As a collaborative effort, these proceedings cannot be published without duly acknowledging, first, João Sàágua, Fabrizio Macagno and Giovanni Damele, ArgLab members who were part of the ECA Lisbon 2015 Organising Committee. Also, the entire 12-person ECA Steering Committee (see www.ecargument.org) was indispensable in shaping the conference. We would also like to thank Nuno Mora, Marisa Campos and Rita Luís for their crucial assistance with the conference and the proceedings. We wouldn't be able to make it without you!

With this publication, we are happy to conclude ECA Lisbon 2015. Yet, ECA is an on-going project, and for years to come. As we write this, the 2nd European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Inference is being prepared by our colleagues at the University of Fribourg, Switzerland, where it will take place 20-23 June 2017. This will be another chance to present, discuss and publish the most up-to-date research on argumentation. You're welcome to be part of it.

Dima Mohammed
Marcin Lewiński

Lisbon, May 2016

This is Volume I of the proceedings of the 1st European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Reasoned Action, held at the Argumentation Lab in Lisbon, Portugal, in June 2015. The European Conference on Argumentation (ECA) is a new pan-European initiative aiming to consolidate and advance various streaks of research into argumentation and reasoning: philosophy, communication, linguistics, discourse analysis, computer science, psychology, cognitive studies, legal theory, etc. The proceedings comprise what we think is the most complete statement of the state of the art of argumentation studies today across these disciplines. From ancient rhetoric to Artificial Intelligence, and from analytic philosophy to detailed empirical research, the contributors examine argumentation theory and practice with a special focus on argumentation aimed at reasoned action. It's gratifying to have all this research collected in one source and realise the breadth and depth of the lively debates in argumentation studies.



ISBN 978-1-84890-211-4



9 781848 902114